	Application No.	**Applicant(s)**
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/510,675	PETTIT ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Rosalynd Keys	1621	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _71_

  (1) _Rosalynd Keys_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Nabeela R. McMillian (Reg. No. 43,363)_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _12 June 2009_   **Time:** _10:06 a.m._

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:
4, 14, 18, and 24-28

Prior art documents discussed:
Ghai et al. (US 2002/0028852 A1) in view of Seyedi et al. (US 6,743,937 2) and further in view of Orsini et al. (Carbohydrate Research, Vol. 301, Issue 3-4, June 1997, pp. 95-109).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A call was made to Ms. Nabeela to inquire whether the Applicants were willing to cancel claims 4, 14 and 18 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Ms. Nabeela declined the offer.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)